



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
06/18/97 137	02/10/97 97	STRACCI	27.001

RICHARD J. STRACCI
24 CHESAPE STREET
PORTFLET MA 07805

137/1251

EXAMINER

PAPELLER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2735	12

DATE MAILED: 12/31/96

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.

08/807,567

Applicant(s)

Petrocy et al.

Examiner

William Grant

Group Art Unit

2786



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) William Grant (3) _____

(2) Mr. Petrocy (4) _____

Date of Interview 12/22/98

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: n/a

Identification of prior art discussed:

n/a

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

12/17: Informed Mr. Petrocy that since he was one of 2 inventors listed on the declaration of this appl'n, and no power of attorney to him from Mr. Sidoti is present, correspondance must be from both inventors. Mr. Petrocy insisted that he is the sole inventor. Mr. Grant explained that absent a correction of inventorship, the inventorship in the declaration applies. Mr. Grant further explained that absent a response signed by both inventors, a power of attorney to Mr. Petrocy from Mr. Sidoti, or a correction of inventorship, the case would become abandoned, since no response had been filed. Mr. Grant agreed to investigate whether the previous interview could be used to stop abandonment. 12/22: Informed Mr. Petrocy that the interview could not be considered a response, that the application was abandoned and a petition to revive it as being unavoidably or unintentionally abandoned could be filed, along with a proper response from those listed above. Petition info. was faxed to Mr. Petrocy via the Office of Petitions.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

WILLIAM GRANT
PRIMARY EXAMINER
SPE A02786

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.